

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,503	06/27/2005	Joachim Petersen	12834-00004-US	1450	
23416	7590 05/11/2006		EXAMINER		
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			BOYKIN, TERRESSA M		
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	h
Office Autieus Communication		10/522,503	PETERSEN, JOACHIM	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Terressa M. Boykin	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS OF THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAILING DANS OF THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAILING DANS OF THE MAILING OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	wn from consideration.		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2005</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 📉 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3-3-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' claim 1 etc. remains so broadly set forth that the claim continues to be interpreted by the Examiner as anticipated by the references while remaining within the scope of the specification. In should be noted that in order to prosecute the case resourcefully and expediently while giving the applicants the best possible search, it is imperative and practical for the applicants to clarify how the process would differ from the claimed invention by how the film is arranged/incorporated/formed or structured therein.

Without such clarity of structure, the art of record remains within the scope of the present claims and the applicants arguments although understood and appreciated are moot on those basis. The manner in which the process as claimed is set forth, remains so vastly broad that it may be anticipated by the reference while still remaining within the scope of the specification. Note further a process should at least recite clear,, active steps and any process parameters necessitated by the specification so that the claim will "clearly set out and circumscribe a particular area with a reasonable degree of precision and particularity, In re Moore, 169 USPQ 236, and make it clears what subject matter the claim encompasses, as well as makes clear the subject matter from others would be precluded. In re Hammack 166 USPQ 204.

Thus, applicants have not satisfactorily demonstrated how the claims are not anticipated (or rendered obvious) over the reference.

Art Unit: 1711

It would be beneficial for the applicants to use language from the specification to further specify the claimed language without, of course, unfairly limiting applicants intended invention.

35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by USPub 20040186189 see pages 1-4.

USPub 20040186189 discloses a method for producing polymer-electrolyte membranes using plasma-assisted deposition in a gaseous phase. Said method simplifies the process in relation to prior art by the selection of its starting materials, carbon or fluorocarbon compounds and water. The reference also relates to a polyazol membrane coated by plasma-polymerization. The purpose of the method is to produce polymer films, the polyazole is, in a further step, dissolved in polar, aprotic solvents such as dimethylacetamide (DMAc) and a film is produced by classical methods. Thus, the USPub 20040186189 reference discloses a treatment for polyazole films prepared from the same components as claimed by applicants except for the function

Application/Control Number: 10/522,503 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

wherein the film is unrolled from a spool and rolled upon a further spool.

However, the function wherein a film, which is unrolled from one spool and wound onto another is well understood by persons skilled in the art for centuries. See USP 1375815 col. 2 lines 108 to col. 3 line 1. The treatment or processing of a film in the interim of rewinding is also well documented. US 5965485 discloses a process wherein there is a second rewinding step, wherein the rolled layer is unrolled and rewound around the ribbon spools while sequentially changing a roll diameter thereof. This procedure causes the change in contact position between the ink layer and the back coat layer, so that there arises a phenomenon that the dyes transferred to the back coat layer from the ink layer in the first step is re-transferred to the imageprotective layer when rewound in the second step. Thus, although the process of treatment may vary, the process of "treating' a film while/during the changing of the film from one roll to another spool is well known. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to treat/process a film particularly the polyazole film above since the process or function is well-known to the skilled artisan. Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as unobvious and accordingly is unpatentable.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by USPub 20040186189 see pages 1-4 further in view of USP 2005/0058771.

Applicants argue that the prior art does not demonstrate a

[&]quot;.....feature that is not disclosed in Muller is wherein the direction of travel of the film is changed during the treatment by altering the direction of rotation of the spools."

Note that the film-handling embodiment of the reference which is depicted in

FIGS. 6 and 7, wherein apparatus 10 includes film supply spool 12 and film take-up spool 12'. Spool 12 feeds a strip of film 30 on which a bead 40' of conductive paste is applied to the lower film surface by a nozzle 16. Nozzle 16 is connected to a source of the conductive paste (not shown). In an alternate embodiment, shown in phantom lines, an idler <u>roller 14 changes the direction of film</u> 30' so that conductive bead 40", is applied to the film at a higher angle with respect to the surface of stencil mask 50.

This is not an uncommon and especially unobvious feature.

It would have also been obvious in addition to the aforementioned to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to treat/process a film film above since the process of changing film direction over a roller or spool is well known to the skilled artisan.

Again, it is reiterated that it would be beneficial for the applicants to use language from the specification to further specify the claimed language without, of course, unfairly limiting applicants intended invention.

Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as unobvious and accordingly is unpatentable.

Correspondence

Please note that the <u>cited</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, <u>all</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (<u>www.uspto.gov < http://www.uspto.gov>)</u>, from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants may be referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at < < http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html> or 1-866-217-9197.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,503 Page 6

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is 571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The general information number for listings of personnel is (571-272-1700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tmb

PRIMARY EXAMINER